



UNITED STAT EPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, DC 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED I	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.		
08/465,322	06/05/95	SODERLUND		Н	A28203-A-FWC
Γ		18M2/1210	٦	EXAMINER	
BRUMBAUGH G	RAVES DONOHL			MYERS,	С
30 ROCKEFEL				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEW YORK NY	10112			1807	13
				DATE MAILED:	12/10/97

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks



Application No.

08/465,322



Soderlund et al

Examiner

Carla Myers

Group Art Unit 1807



	E PERI	IOD FOR RESP	ONSE: [ch	eck only a) or I	o)]					
	a) 🔀	expires	months	from the mailing	date of the final	rejection.				
	b) 🗌	expires either the is later. In no e	hree months t event, howeve	from the mailing of er, will the statut	date of the final r ory period for the	ejection, or on e response exp	the mailing da re later than si	te of this Adv x months fror	isory Action, which n the date of the fin	ever al
	date or determ	ctension of time m n which the respo nining the period c ated from the date	onse, the peti of extension a	tion, and the fee and the correspon	have been filed is ding amount of t	s the date of the	e response and ctension fee pu	l also the datersuant to 37	e appropriate fee. e for the purposes o CFR 1.17 will be	The f
X	Appell period	llant's Brief is d I for response s	lue two mo set forth ab	nths from the o	date of the Not r is later). See	tice of Appea 37 CFR 1.1	l filed on 91(d) and 37	Nov 14, 19 CFR 1.192	997 (or within a 2(a).	any
Ap but	plicant is NO	t's response to)T deemed to p	the final re place the ap	jection, filed or plication in cor	n <i>Nov 14, 1</i> ndition for allow	1997 has l vance:	een conside	red with the	following effect	,
X	The p	roposed amend	dment(s):							
	X w	rill be entered u	ipon filing o	f a Notice of A	ppeal and an A	Appeal Brief.				
	□ w	vill not be enter								
		•		at would requir			or search. (See note be	elow).	
		•		ew matter. (Se						
		issues for app	peal.						ng or simplifying	the
		• •	additional c	laims without o	cancelling a co	rresponding I	number of fin	ally rejecte	d claims.	
	NO)TE:							··	
							·			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	X A	11								
		* *			llowing rejection					
		* *			•				<u></u>	
	<u>77</u>	he rejection of	claims 51-6	5 <u>8 under 35 US</u>	SC 112, first p	aragraph.				
	Newl separ	ly proposed or rate, timely file	amended cl	aims	SC 112, first pone	aragraph.	would	be allowab	le if submitted in	a
□ X	Newl separ	ly proposed or rate, timely file	amended cl d amendme it or requestuse:	aims	SC 112, first pone	aragraph.	would	be allowab		a
	Newly separ The a for all See a	ly proposed or rate, timely file affidavit, exhibitiowance becausettachment	amended cl d amendme it or request use:	aimsthe cancelling to the considerent of th	ne non-allowat	ole claims.	would	be allowab	le if submitted in	a
	Newly separ The a for all See a the E	ly proposed or rate, timely file affidavit, exhibit affidavit or exhibit affidavit affidavit or exhibit affidavit affidavit or exhibit affidavit	amended cld amendment or requestise: ibit will NO final rejectioneal, the sta	aims ent cancelling to the for reconsider The considerer on.	ne non-allowate ation has been discusse it is	ole claims. In considered In not directed	would but does NO SOLELY to it	be allowab T place the ssues which xplanation,	le if submitted in application in colon were newly rais if any):	a
	Newly separ The a for all See a the E For p Claim	ly proposed or rate, timely file affidavit, exhibitional affidavit or exhibitation affidavit or	amended cld amendment or requestise: ibit will NO final rejections, the standard for the s	aims	ne non-allowate ation has been discause it is ms is as follow	ole claims. In considered In not directed	would but does NO SOLELY to indeed written ear	be allowab T place the ssues which xplanation,	le if submitted in application in color were newly rais if any):	a ndition sed by
	Newlisepar The afor all <u>See a</u> The athe E	ly proposed or rate, timely file affidavit, exhibit affidavit or exhibit affidavit affidavit or exhibit affidavit affidavit or exhibit affidavit	amended cld amendment or requestise: ibit will NO final rejections, the standard former form	aims	ne non-allowate ation has been discause it is ms is as follow	ole claims. In considered In not directed	would but does NO SOLELY to indeed written ear	be allowab T place the ssues which xplanation,	le if submitted in application in colon were newly rais if any):	a ndition sed by
	Newl'separ The afor al See athe E	ly proposed or rate, timely file affidavit, exhibit affidavit or exhibit attachment affidavit or exhibit attachment affidavit or exhibit aminer in the purposes of Apprens allowed: NO ins objected to: ins rejected: 51	amended cld amendment or requestive: ibit will NO final rejective oeal, the standard from the standar	aimsent cancelling the transiderer on. at your first the claim of the claim	ne non-allowate ation has been discause it is ms is as follow	ole claims. In considered In not directed	would but does NO SOLELY to it	be allowab T place the ssues which xplanation,	le if submitted in application in con were newly rais if any):	a ndition
	Newly separ The a for al See a the E For p Claim Claim The p	ly proposed or rate, timely file affidavit, exhibit affidavit or exhibit attachment affidavit or exhibit attachment affidavit or exhibit aminer in the purposes of Apprens allowed: NO ins objected to: ins rejected: 51	amended cld amendment or requestise: ibit will NO final rejections the standard from the standard fro	aimsent cancelling the transiderer on. at the considerer on. at us of the claim of the	ne non-allowate ation has been dispersed because it is ms is as follows	ole claims. In considered In not directed Its (see attach	would but does NO SOLELY to indeed written each	be allowab T place the ssues which xplanation, neen approv	le if submitted in application in con movere newly rais if any):	a ndition
	Newly separ The a for al See a the E For p Claim Claim The p	ly proposed or rate, timely file effidavit, exhibited affidavit or e	amended cld amendment or requestise: ibit will NO final rejections the standard from the standard fro	aimsent cancelling the transiderer on. at the considerer on. at us of the claim of the	ne non-allowate ation has been dispersed because it is ms is as follows	ole claims. In considered In not directed Its (see attach	would but does NO SOLELY to indeed written each	be allowab T place the ssues which xplanation, neen approv	le if submitted in application in con movere newly rais if any):	a ndition
	Newly separ The a for all See a the E For p Claim Claim The p Note	ly proposed or rate, timely file effidavit, exhibited affidavit or e	amended cld amendment or requestise: ibit will NO final rejections the standard from the standard fro	aimsent cancelling the transiderer on. at the considerer on. at us of the claim of the	ne non-allowate ation has been dispersed because it is ms is as follows	ole claims. In considered In not directed Its (see attach	would but does NO SOLELY to indeed written each	be allowab T place the ssues which xplanation, neen approv	le if submitted in application in con movere newly rais if any):	a ndition

Serial Number: 08/465,322

Art Unit: 1807

ATTACHMENT TO ADVISORY:

Applicants arguments presented in the response of Paper No. 10 have been fully considered but are not persuasive to overcome all pending grounds of rejection for the following reasons:

1. Claim 68 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph as indefinite and vague over the recitation of "wherein the oligonucleotide primer is hybridized to the target" because it is not clear as to whether the claimed reagent itself is present in a form in which it is hybridized to the target nucleic acid or if it is only a property of the claimed reagent that it is capable of hybridizing to the target nucleic acid adjacent to the predetermined position.

Applicants state that the claims must be read through the eyes of one of skill in the art and in light of the specification and thereby it is clear what is intended to be meant by the claims. However, there is no limitation in the claims or teachings in the specification which clarify the intended limitation of claim 68. It is maintained that it is not clear as to whether applicants intend to claim a double-stranded hybrid oligonucleotide in which the primer is bound to a target nucleic acid (as is inferred by the recitation that the primer "is" bound to a target nucleic acid (or if applicant intends to claim a primer that has the property of being capable of binding to a target nucleic acid (as is inferred by the recitation that the claimed reagent is a primer, rather than a product formed by the binding of a primer to a target).

2. Claims 51, 53, 64-66 and 68 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Erlich.

-3-

Serial Number: 08/465,322

Art Unit: 1807

In summary, in the response of Paper NO. 10, Applicants traversed this rejection by stating that Applicants invention does not require the inclusion of a hybridization step to determine if nucleotide variation is present but requires the use of a reagent , i.e. a primer which hybridizes adjacent to the target region. However, the fact that the method of Erlich is different from that of Applicants is not relevant here because the claims are drawn to products and not to methods. A comparison is made only between the product of Erlich and the product of the instant invention. The oligonucleotides of Erlich have the exact same properties as the reagents of the instant invention. The primer of Erlich, when hybridized to the target nucleic acid, is adjacent to the variable nucleotide, such that extension of the primer at the 3' end results in the incorporation of a variable nucleotide. Applicants attention is drawn to col. 29 and 30 of Erlich wherein the position of the DB01 primer is clearly shown, such that the primer hybridizes 3' to the CTT codon, which consists of a variable nucleotide since this codon may also be present as GTG. Therefore, it is a property of the DB01 primer of Erlich that it hybridizes to a segment of the target adjacent to a variable nucleotide. It is again pointed out that the recitation of the intended use of the primers of the instant invention does not carry weight.

3. Claims 52, 63 and 67 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Erlich (U.S. Patent No. 5,310,893).

In summary, Applicants traverse this rejection by stating that the Examiner has misunderstood the teachings of Erlich. It is asserted that Erlich doesn't teach a primer for detecting the presence of a specific nucleotide at a predetermined position in a target nucleic acid.

-4-

Serial Number: 08/465,322

Art Unit: 1807

Applicants go on to further compare the methodology disclosed in the instant specification with the methodology disclosed by Erlich. However, it is pointed out that the claims are not drawn to methods, but rather are drawn to products. The recitation in the claims of the intended use of the product does not carry weight with respect to the issue of obviousness. The question is only one of whether the instant products are obvious in view of the teachings of Erlich. That is, the comparison is made only between the functional and structural limitations of Applicants product and the product of Erlich. Again, Erlich teaches an oligonucleotide DB01 primer which hybridizes 3' to the CTT codon, which consists of a variable nucleotide since this codon may also be present as GTG. Therefore, it is a property of the DB01 primer of Erlich that it hybridizes to a segment of the target adjacent to a variable nucleotide. The oligonucleotide of Erlich differs from the claimed oligonucleotide only in that Erlich does not specifically exemplify a DB01 primer having attached thereto an "attachment moiety" through which the primer can be immobilized or immobilization of the primer and the amplification product onto a solid support. However, Erlich does teach that primers useful for amplifying variable nucleotides can be modified so as to attach labels thereto, including labels which can be used to capture the primer and facilitate immobilization of the primer onto a solid support (see col. 5). Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the amplification primer of Erlich so as to have attached a moiety allowing for the immobilization of the primer in order to have accomplished the expected advantage of generating a primer which could easily immobilized Serial Number: 08/465,322 -5-

Art Unit: 1807

onto a solid support to have allowed for the rapid and efficient separation and isolation of the nucleic acids comprising the amplification primer from other nucleic acids.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carla Myers whose telephone number is (703) 308-2199. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 6:30 AM-5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, W. Gary Jones, can be reached on (703)-308-1152. The fax number for this Group is (703)-305-3014.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Carla Myers

December 8, 1997

CARLA J. MYERS
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 1800